



INVESTOR IN PEOPLE

The Patent Office
Concept House
Cardiff Road
Newport
South Wales
NP10 8QQ

I, the undersigned, being an officer duly authorised in accordance with Section 74(1) and (4) of the Deregulation & Contracting Out Act 1994, to sign and issue certificates on behalf of the Comptroller-General, hereby certify that annexed hereto is a true copy of the documents as originally filed in connection with the patent application identified therein.

In accordance with the Patents (Companies Re-registration) Rules 1982, if a company named in this certificate and any accompanying documents has re-registered under the Companies Act 1980 with the same name as that with which it was registered immediately before re-registration save for the substitution as, or inclusion as, the last part of the name of the words "public limited company" or their equivalents in Welsh, references to the name of the company in this certificate and any accompanying documents shall be treated as references to the name with which it is so re-registered.

In accordance with the rules, the words "public limited company" may be replaced by p.l.c., plc, P.L.C. or PLC.

Re-registration under the Companies Act does not constitute a new legal entity but merely subjects the company to certain additional company law rules.

Signed

Dated 14 August 2003



**The
Patent
Office**26JUN03 E818094-3 D00611
P01/7700 0.00-0314907.7**Request for grant of a patent**

The Patent Office
 Concept House
 Cardiff Road
 Newport
 South Wales NP10 8QQ

1.	Your reference	GB920020090GB1		
2.	Patent application number <i>(The Patent Office will fill in this part)</i>	0314907.7		
3.	Full name, address and postcode of the or of each applicant <i>(underline all surnames)</i>	INTERNATIONAL BUSINESS MACHINES CORPORATION Armonk New York 10504 United States of America		
	Patents ADP number <i>(if you know it)</i>	519637001		
	If the applicant is a corporate body, give the country/state of its incorporation	State of New York United States of America		
4.	Title of the invention	A SYSTEM FOR CONTROLLING A COMPONENT		
5.	Name of your agent <i>(if you have one)</i>	R D Moss		
	"Address for Service" in the United Kingdom to which all correspondance should be sent <i>(including the postcode)</i>	IBM United Kingdom Limited Intellectual Property Department Hursley Park Winchester Hampshire SO21 2JN		
	Patents ADP number <i>(if you know it)</i>	919006		
6.	If you are declaring priority from one or more earlier patent applications, give the country and the date of filing of the or of each of these earlier applications and <i>(if you know it)</i> the or each application number	Country	Priority App No <i>(if you know it)</i>	Date of filing <i>(day/month/year)</i>
7.	If this application is divided or otherwise derived from an earlier UK application, give the number and the filing date of the earlier application	No of earlier application	Date of filing <i>(day/month/year)</i>	

8. Is a statement of inventorship and of right to grant of a patent required in support of this request? (Answer 'Yes' if:
 a) any applicant named in part 3 is not an inventor, or
 b) there is an inventor who is not named as an applicant, or
 c) any named applicant is a corporate body.)

Yes

9. Enter the number of sheets for any of the following items you are filing with this form.
 Do not count copies of the same document

Continuation sheets of this form

Description	10
Claim(s)	4
Abstract	1
Drawing(s)	2 + 2

10. If you are also filing any of the following, state how many against each item.

Priority documents

Translations of priority documents


Statement of inventorship and right to grant
 of a patent (Patents Form 7/77)

Request for preliminary examination
 and search (Patents Form 9/77)

Request for substantive examination
 (Patents Form 10/77)

Any other documents
 (please specify)

11. I/We request the grant of a patent on the basis of this application


Signature24 June 2003
Date

R D MOSS

12. Name and daytime telephone number of person to contact in the United Kingdom

A Sekar
01962 818169

The Patent Office

Patents Act 1977

Rule 15

Statement of inventorship and of right to grant of a patent

23 May 2008

The Patent Office
Concept House
Cardiff Road
Newport
South Wales NP10 8QQ

- | | | |
|----|---|---|
| 1. | Your reference | GB920020090GB1 |
| 2. | Patent application number
<i>(if you know it)</i> | 0314907 7 |
| 3. | Full name of the or of each applicant | INTERNATIONAL BUSINESS MACHINES CORPORATION |
| 4. | Title of invention | A SYSTEM FOR CONTROLLING A COMPONENT |
| 5. | State how the applicant(s) derived the right
from the inventor(s) to be granted a patent | By employment and agreement |
| 6. | How many, if any, additional Patents Forms
7/77 are attached to this form? | |
| 7. | I/We believe that the person(s) named over the page (and
on any extra copies of this form) is/are the inventor(s) of the
invention which the above patent application relates to. | |

I/We believe that the person(s) named over the page (and on any extra copies of this form) is/are the inventor(s) of the invention which the above patent application relates to.

R D May

Signature

24 June 2003
Date

R D MOSS

8. Name and daytime telephone number of person to contact in the United Kingdom A Sekar
Tel: 01962 818169

Enter the full names, addresses and postcodes of the inventors in the boxes and underline the surnames

LAMBERT, Howard Shelton
UK resident
c/o IBM United Kingdom Limited
Intellectual Property Law
Hursley Park
Winchester
Hampshire SO21 2JN
England

Patents ADP number (*if known*)

6562102002

ORCHARD, James Ronald L.
UK resident
c/o IBM United Kingdom Limited
Intellectual Property Law
Hursley Park
Winchester
Hampshire SO21 2JN
England

Patents ADP number (*if known*)

7805476001

If there are more than three inventors, please write their names and addresses on the back of another Patents Form 7/77 and attach it to this form

REMINDER

Have you signed the form?

Patents ADP number (*if known*)

A SYSTEM FOR CONTROLLING A COMPONENT**FIELD OF THE INVENTION**

5

The present invention relates to a system for controlling a component.

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

10

Today, parameters associated with a component (e.g. device, process etc.) can be managed by an owning entity (e.g. user, application etc.). In an example of a system comprising an owning application and an associated device (e.g. a broadband modem), the application can control the modem's behaviour with respect to data rate (e.g. increasing or decreasing the data rate) by manually setting the data rate parameter. A disadvantage associated with this system is that the component needs to wait for instructions from the owning entity and this is inflexible and also causes delay.

20

GB patent application 9608434.8 describes a communications system with associated Quality of Service (QoS) parameters. A simple language is defined for the manipulation of QoS parameters. The inter-dependence of QoS parameters is reflected in that requests comprising parameters are specified in terms of logical expressions, which for example, algebraically relate the different parameters. The logical expressions are resolved to determine whether or not the quality of service requested can be supported. Each parameter is specified as a minimum/maximum value pair corresponding to the desired range for that parameter (i.e. parameter[Min]; parameter[Max]). The use of minimum/maximum pairs provides more effective control than the use of a single target figure for example. Furthermore, conventional arithmetic operations are provided - addition, subtraction, multiplication, division, plus a remainder function - along with control flow constructions (if ... then ... else), including normal logical tests (less than, equals, greater than, etc). Since the algebra is predominantly defined with respect to value pairs, logical tests are included for determining whether one range is exclusive or inclusive of another range.

There is a need for a more flexible system that gives an entity the ability to determine its level of involvement in management of parameters.

DISCLOSURE OF THE INVENTION

According to a first aspect, the present invention provides a system for controlling a first component comprising: means for interrogating a first parameter having an associated threshold; means for determining whether the first parameter meets the associated threshold; and means, responsive to a successful determination, for adjusting a second parameter for controlling the first component, wherein the first and second parameters are expressed as a logical expression and wherein each parameter comprises at least three values corresponding to a minimum value and a maximum value together representing a range and a variable value.

Preferably, the system further comprises means for initialising each parameter, wherein upon initialisation, the variable value represents an initial value. In one embodiment, an application program executes the means for initialising. In a preferred embodiment, upon interrogation, the variable value represents a current value. Aptly, the system comprises means, responsive to the current value of the first parameter lying outside of the range, for executing an action. E.g. paging a systems administrator, sounding an alarm etc..

In a preferred embodiment, the means for adjusting adjusts the current value of the second parameter e.g. decreasing the current value, increasing the current value. In one embodiment, the system further comprises a network and a second component and the second parameter controls the first and second components.

Advantageously, the present invention provides a system for controlling a component in response to the environment, thereby optimizing performance of that component. Preferably, at least one "trigger parameter" triggers a control program when it reaches an associated threshold. The control program adjusts at least one "control parameter", that is responsible for controlling the component. Advantageously, an entity that owns the component does not need to become involved in this process. However, preferably, if the trigger parameter's current value lies outside of the range the initialised trigger parameter, control passes back to the owning entity, so that it can take action.

Furthermore, by providing a trackable third value, the present invention provides a reactive mechanism, that takes action based on certain events. The present invention can therefore handle systems comprising variable parameters. The present invention is also flexible and can be manipulated easily, without intrusion, if the environment changes.

It should be understood that the components can be devices, programs, processes, services etc. It should be understood that the parameters represent parameters that can vary (e.g. security attributes, compression attributes, display attributes etc.).

5

According to a second aspect, the present invention provides a method of controlling a first component comprising the steps of: interrogating a first parameter having an associated threshold; determining whether the first parameter meets the associated threshold; and adjusting, in response to a successful determination, a second parameter for controlling the first component, wherein the first and second parameters are expressed as a logical expression and wherein each parameter comprises at least three values corresponding to a minimum value and a maximum value together representing a range and a variable value.

15

According to a third aspect, the present invention provides a computer program comprising program code means adapted to perform the method as described above when said program is run on a computer.

20

According to a fourth aspect, the present invention provides a system for controlling a first medical component comprising: means for interrogating a first physiological parameter having an associated threshold; means for determining whether the first physiological parameter meets the associated threshold; and means, responsive to a successful determination, for adjusting a second physiological parameter for controlling the first medical component, wherein the first and second physiological parameters are expressed as a logical expression and wherein each physiological parameter comprises at least three values corresponding to a minimum value and a maximum value together representing a range and a variable value.

25

30

In one embodiment, the first medical component is a device having at least one associated syringe driver. In this embodiment, preferably, the first physiological parameter represents the blood sugar level of a patient and the second physiological parameter represents either an insulin level or a sugar solution level. In another embodiment, the first physiological parameter represents heart rate and the second physiological parameter represents an amount of a drug. It should be understood that the physiological parameters could represent any other parameter (e.g. blood pressure).

40

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

The present invention will now be described, by way of example only, with reference to preferred embodiments thereof, as illustrated in the
5 following drawings:

FIG. 1 shows an overview of one example of a system in which the present invention can be implemented; and

10 FIG. 2 shows an overview of another example of a system in which the present invention can be implemented.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION

15 The present invention provides a system for controlling a component's (e.g. process, service, device etc.) behaviour via parameters. Each parameter is specified as a triplet of values, comprising a minimum value; a maximum value and a third value representing an initial value or a current value. The triplet and various use cases will now be described in
20 more detail with reference to FIGs. 1 and 2. It should also be understood that the values used herein are for example purposes only.

A first use case is described with reference to a system (100) shown in FIG. 1. The system (100) comprises a blood sugar monitor (105), running an application program (110), wherein the application program (110) communicates with a medical device (120) (in this example, the device is a syringe driver comprising insulin and a syringe driver comprising a sugar solution). The system also comprises a control program (115), which is defined by the algorithmic language described in GB patent application
30 9608434.8 and is set up before communications begin.

In this use case, the component to be controlled is the device (120). The parameters are physiological parameters and represent the level of blood sugar in a patient (in millimoles per litre), an amount of insulin
35 (in units per hour) and an amount of sugar solution (in units per hour).

Before communication begins, preferably, the application program (110) initializes (i.e. writes) the parameters. For each parameter, a minimum acceptable value, a maximum acceptable value and a third value is specified. At this stage, the third value (a variable value) represents an
40 initial or ideal value.

```
BloodSugar[Min]      := 4.0;
BloodSugar[Max]      := 8.0;
BloodSugar[Use]       := 5.5;

5      AmountInsulin[Min]      := 0;
AmountInsulin[Max]    := 4;
AmountInsulin[Use]    := AmountInsulin[Min];

10     AmountSolution[Min]    := 0;
AmountSolution[Max]   := 4;
AmountSolution[Use]   := AmountSolution[Min]
```

The control program (115) is also set up and is constructed to automatically control the device (120):

15

Begin

```
If BloodSugar[Use] > 6.0 Then
    AmountInsulin[Use] := 1
```

20

Else

```
If BloodSugar[Use] < 4.5 Then
    AmountSolution[Use] := 1;
```

25

```
Wait (60, Mins);
```

End;

30

Now, when control is passed to the control program (115), the third values of the parameters represent the current (i.e. actual) values. Throughout execution, this value may vary, and the control program (115) interrogates (i.e. reads) the current values. In this example, the current value for blood sugar is 7.0 and as neither insulin nor sugar solution have been administered to the patient, the current value for these parameters is 0.

35

Although the current value for blood sugar lies within the specified range, it does not match the initial value. Furthermore, the current value for blood sugar triggers the first condition (i.e. a blood sugar level of more than 6) in the control program (115). Therefore, in response to the current value for blood sugar, the control program (115) executes a write operation to adjust (i.e. re-set) the third value of the insulin parameter to "AmountInsulin[Use] :=1". This results in administration of one unit of

40

insulin from the syringe driver. This action controls the device's (120) behaviour in light of the higher actual blood sugar level. Referring to the control program (115), the third values are again interrogated after an hour.

5

In this example, when the third values are interrogated again, the current value for blood sugar is 4.3, for insulin is 0 and for the sugar solution is 0. Therefore, although the current values lie within the specified range, the current value for blood sugar does not match the initial value. Furthermore, the current value for blood sugar triggers the second condition (i.e. a blood sugar level of less than 4.5) in the control program (115). Therefore, in response to the current value for blood sugar, the control program (115) executes a write operation to adjust (i.e. re-set) the third value of the sugar solution parameter to "AmountSolution[Use] :=1". This results in administration of one unit of sugar solution from the syringe driver. This action controls the device's (120) behaviour in light of the lower actual blood sugar level. Referring to the control program (115), the third values are again interrogated after an hour.

20

In this example, the current value for blood sugar is 5.8, for insulin is 0 and for the sugar solution is 0. Although the current value for blood sugar does not match the initial value, nothing is done, because neither of the trigger conditions of the control program (115) have been met. Referring to the control program (115), the third values are again interrogated after an hour.

25

In this example, the current value for blood sugar is 3.9, for insulin is 0 and for the sugar solution is 0 and therefore, the current value for blood sugar lies outside the specified range for that parameter. Preferably, control is passed back to the application program (110). At this stage, the application program (110) preferably executes an action e.g. raising an event such as an alarm.

35

The blood sugar parameter is a "trigger parameter", since it triggers the control program (115) when it reaches either of its associated thresholds. The insulin and solution parameters control the device (120). Therefore it can be seen that the control program automatically controls a component when a trigger parameter's current value lies within the bounds of the initialised parameter. Advantageously, the owning application (or user, service etc.) does not become involved in the process. However, preferably, control passes back to the owning application (or user, service etc.) when the current value lies outside of the specified bounds.

40

5

A second use case is described with reference to a system (100) shown in FIG. 1. The system (100) comprises a client computer (105), running an application program (110). The application program (110) communicates with a device (120) (in this example, the device is a broadband modem). The system also comprises a control program (115).

10

In this use case, the component to be controlled is the broadband modem (120). The parameters involved represent error rate (in units per second) and data rate (in kilobits). Before communication begins, preferably, the application program (110) initializes (i.e. writes) the parameters. For each parameter, a minimum and maximum acceptable value and an initial or ideal value are specified:

15 Rate[Min] := 64;
 Rate[Max] := 2000;
 Rate[Use] := 256;

 Error[Min] := 0;
 Error[Max] := 10;
20 Error[Use] := 4.5;

The control program (115) is also set up and is constructed to automatically control the broadband modem (120):

25 If Error[Use] > 5 Then

30 Begin
 Rate[Use] := Rate[Use] / 2;
 If Rate[Use] < Rate[Min] Then
 Rate[Use] := Rate[Min];
 End;
 Else
 If Error[Use] < 1 Then
35 Begin
 Rate[Use] := Rate[Use] * 2;
 If Rate[Use] > Rate[Max] Then
 Rate[Use] := Rate[Max];
 End;

40

Now, when control is passed to the control program (115), the third values of the parameters represent the current (i.e. actual) values.

Throughout communications, the control program (115) interrogates (i.e. reads) the current values. In this example, the current value for data rate is 128 and the current value for error rate is 8.

Although the current values lie within the specified range, the current values do not match the initial values. Furthermore, the current value for error rate triggers the first condition (i.e. error rate of more than 5) in the control program (115). Therefore, in response to the current value for error rate, the control program (115) executes a write operation to adjust (i.e. re-set) the third value of the date rate parameter to "Rate[Use] := Rate[Use] / 2", which resets the value of the data rate of the broadband modem (120) to 64. This action controls the broadband modem's (120) behaviour in light of the higher actual value for error rate, as typically, decreasing the data rate will decrease the error rate as well.

When the third values are interrogated again, the current value for data rate is 64 and the current value for error rate is 0.7. Therefore, although the current values lie within the specified range, the current values do not match the initial values. Furthermore, in order to optimise performance in the system, the second condition (i.e. an error rate of less than 1) in the control program (115) is triggered. Therefore, in response to the current value for error rate, the control program (115) executes a write operation to adjust (i.e. re-set) the third value of the data rate parameter to "Rate[Use] := Rate[Use] * 2". The value of the data rate of the broadband modem (120) is therefore reset to 128 and this allows more data to be received per second, which is acceptable when the error rate is lower.

When the third values are interrogated again, the current value for data rate is 256 and for error rate is 11. In this case, the current value for error rate lies outside the specified range for that parameter. Preferably, control is passed back to the application program (110), which executes an action e.g. paging a systems administrator.

A third use case is described with reference to a system (200) shown in FIG. 2. The system (200) comprises a client computer (205), running an application program "1" (210). The application program "1" (210) communicates with a device (in this example, the device is a camera (220)). The system (200) also comprises an intermediate control program "1" (215). The client computer (205) communicates over a network (245) via a broadband modem with a server computer (225), running an application program "2" (230). The application program "2" (230) communicates with a device (in this example, the device is a monitor (240)). The system (200) also comprises an intermediate control program "2" (235). In this example, the

control programs (215, 235) communicate with each other in order to ensure that they are behaving in a complementary manner. In the system (200), the camera (220) captures frames at a frame rate, which are sent over the network (245) and rendered by the monitor (240) at that frame rate.

5 Generally, the capabilities of the camera (220) and monitor (240) should correspond and it should be understood that typically, the capabilities vary over a range.

In this use case, the components to be controlled are the camera 10 (220) and the monitor (240). The parameters involved represent frame rate (in frames per second) and data rate (in kilobits). Before communication begins, preferably, the application program (210) initializes (i.e. writes) the parameters. For each parameter, a minimum and maximum acceptable value and an initial or ideal value are specified:

15

```
Rate[Min]    := 64;  
Rate[Max]    := 2000;  
Rate[Use]    := 256;  
  
FrameRate[Min]    := 15;  
FrameRate[Max]    := 25;  
FrameRate[Use]    := FrameRate[Max].
```

20

The control programs (215, 235) are also set up and are constructed 25 to automatically control the camera (220) and monitor (240):

30

```
If Rate[Use] > 100 Then  
  
Begin  
  FrameRate[Use] := FrameRate[Max];  
Else  
  
If Rate[Use] < 80 Then  
  
  FrameRate[Use] := 20;  
  
End;
```

35

40

Now, when control is passed to the control programs (215, 235), the third values of the parameters represent the current (i.e. actual) values. Throughout communications, the control programs (215, 235) interrogate (i.e. reads) the current values. In this example, the current value for data rate is 128 and the current value for frame rate is 25 and since the

first condition (i.e. data rate of more than 100) in the control programs (215, 235) is already being met and fulfilled, nothing is done.

When the third values are interrogated again, the current value for data rate is 70 and the current value for frame rate is 25. Therefore, although the current values lie within the specified range, and the current value for frame rate matches the initial value, the second condition (i.e. a data rate of less than 80) in the control programs (215, 235) is triggered. Therefore, in response to the current value for date rate, the control programs (215, 235) execute a write operation to adjust (i.e. re-set) the third value of the frame rate parameter to "FrameRate[Use] := 20". This action controls the camera's and monitor's behaviour in light of the lower actual data rate of the system (200) and therefore optimizes performance.

When the third values are interrogated again, the current value for data rate is 50 and the current value for frame rate is 20. In this case, the current value for date rate lies outside the specified range for that parameter. Preferably, control is passed back to application program "1" (210), which executes an action. In a first example, the camera (220) is stopped and a microphone is set to capture audio only. In a second example, another control program "3" (not shown) that is constructed to deal with data rates falling within the range 20-64, is executed.

Advantageously, the present invention allows dynamic control of a component such that its behavior optimised. Furthermore, a component can be controlled without interrupting owning applications, user, services etc., unless the current value of the trigger parameter falls outside of the specified range.

It should be understood that the present invention is applicable to any type of parameter. For example, an entity might specify a desired percentage of CPU time (or perhaps MIP or MFLOP rate), memory requirements (in kbytes, MBytes or whatever), biological values (e.g. blood pressure, heart rate) etc.

CLAIMS

5 1. A system for controlling a first component comprising:

means for interrogating a first parameter having an associated threshold;

10 means for determining whether the first parameter meets the associated threshold; and

means, responsive to a successful determination, for adjusting a second parameter for controlling the first component,

15 wherein the first and second parameters are expressed as a logical expression and wherein each parameter comprises at least three values corresponding to a minimum value and a maximum value together representing a range and a variable value.

20 2. A system as claimed in claim 1, further comprising means for initialising each parameter, wherein upon initialisation, the variable value represents an initial value.

25 3. A system as claimed in claim 1, wherein upon interrogation, the variable value represents a current value.

4. A system as claimed in claim 3, comprising means, responsive to the current value of the first parameter lying outside of the range, for executing an action.

30 5. A system as claimed in claim 3, wherein the means for adjusting adjusts the current value of the second parameter.

35 6. A system as claimed in any of claims 2 to 5, wherein the means for initialising is executed by an application program.

7. A system as claimed in any preceding claim, further comprising a network and a second component, wherein the second parameter controls the first and second components.

40 8. A method of controlling a first component comprising the steps of:

interrogating a first parameter having an associated threshold;

determining whether the first parameter meets the associated threshold; and

5 adjusting, in response to a successful determination, a second parameter for controlling the first component,

10 wherein the first and second parameters are expressed as a logical expression and wherein each parameter comprises at least three values corresponding to a minimum value and a maximum value together representing a range and a variable value.

9. A method as claimed in claim 8, further comprising the step of initialising each parameter, wherein upon initialisation, the variable value represents an initial value.

15 10. A method as claimed in claim 8, wherein upon interrogation, the variable value represents a current value.

20 11. A method as claimed in claim 10, further comprising the step of executing, in response to the current value of the first parameter lying outside of the range, an action.

25 12. A method as claimed in claim 10, wherein in the adjusting step, the current value of the second parameter is adjusted.

13. A method as claimed in any of claims 9 to 12, wherein an application program executes the initialising step.

30 14. A method as claimed in any of claims 8 to 13, for use in a system comprising a network and a second component, wherein the second parameter controls the first and second components.

15. A computer program comprising program code means adapted to perform the method of any of claims 8 to 14 when said program is run on a computer.

35 16. A system for controlling a first medical component comprising:

means for interrogating a first physiological parameter having an associated threshold;

40 means for determining whether the first physiological parameter meets the associated threshold; and

means, responsive to a successful determination, for adjusting a second physiological parameter for controlling the first medical component,

5 wherein the first and second physiological parameters are expressed as a logical expression and wherein each physiological parameter comprises at least three values corresponding to a minimum value and a maximum value together representing a range and a variable value.

10 17. A system as claimed in claim 16, further comprising means for initialising each physiological parameter, wherein upon initialisation, the variable value represents an initial value.

15 18. A system as claimed in claim 16, wherein upon interrogation, the variable value represents a current value.

19. A system as claimed in claim 18, comprising means, responsive to the current value of the first physiological parameter lying outside of the range, for executing an action.

20 20. A system as claimed in claim 18, wherein the means for adjusting adjusts the current value of the second physiological parameter.

21 21. A system as claimed in any of claims 17 to 20, wherein the means for initialising is executed by an application program.

25 22. A system as claimed in any of claims 16 to 21, further comprising a network and a second medical component, wherein the second physiological parameter controls the first and second medical components.

30 23. A system as claimed in any of claims 16 to 22, wherein the first medical component is at least one syringe driver.

24. A system as claimed in claim 23, wherein the first physiological parameter represents a blood sugar level.

35 25. A system as claimed in claim 24, wherein the second physiological parameter represents an insulin level.

26. A system as claimed in claim 24, wherein the second physiological parameter represents a sugar solution level.

40 27. A system as claimed in any of claim 16 to 22, wherein the first physiological parameter represents heart rate.


28. A system as claimed in claim 27, wherein the second physiological parameter represents an amount of a drug.

ABSTRACT**A SYSTEM FOR CONTROLLING A COMPONENT**

5

A system for controlling a first component, for example, a process, a device, a service. The system comprises means for interrogating a first parameter having an associated threshold, means for determining whether the first parameter meets the associated threshold and means, responsive to a successful determination, for adjusting a second parameter for controlling the first component. The first and second parameters are expressed as a logical expression and each parameter comprises at least three values corresponding to a minimum value and a maximum value together representing a range and a variable value.

10
15



100




FIG. 1






FIG. 2

